# Protein intake and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease:

# a cross-sectional analysis on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

Kexin SUN (Department of Paediatrics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong)
Edmund Anthony Severn NELSON (School of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen)
Hugh Simon Hung San LAM (Department of Paediatrics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong)
Lai Ling HUI (Department of Food Science and Nutrition, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University)

# Background

> Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): presence of >5% hepatic fat

without excessive alcohol consumption / viral hepatitis [1]

➢ Global prevalence: 32.4% <sup>[2]</sup>





Inconsistent findings on protein intake (amount & sources) and risk of



## Aim

To study the association between intake of different sources of protein on the risk of NAFLD.

# Methods

- Data source: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2007 – March 2020 (pre-pandemic) in the US <sup>[3]</sup>
- > Exposure: daily intake (in quantiles of gram) of total, plant, animal,



	Weighted Mean		
NAFLD (%)	33.6		
Male (%)	47.8		
Age (y)	46.4		
Race (%)	Hispanic (14.6), White (66.7), Black (11.0), Others (7.7)		
Education (%)	High school or below (37.2), above high school (62.8)		
Income to poverty ratio	3.0		
Smoking status	Current (16.4), former (23.6), never (60.0)		
Physically active (%)	67.2		
Total energy intake (kcal/day)	2050.9		
Alcohol (g)	3.0		
Fibre (g)	17.2		

dairy, muscle and egg protein, assessed by two 24hr recalls. Protein

types were defined based on USDA Food Coding Scheme<sup>[4]</sup>.

- > Outcome: NAFLD, defined as US fatty liver index (USFLI)  $\geq$  30<sup>[5]</sup>
  - Traditional diagnostic procedures (biopsy, ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging) are difficult to perform in large-scale studies.
  - USFLI is a validated formula involving age, race, waist circumference and 3 blood parameters (fasting glucose, fasting insulin and gammaglutamyl transferase).
- Multivariable logistic regression, adjusted for:
  - Sex, age, race, education, income
  - Smoking status, physical activity
  - Intake of total carbohydrates, fat, alcohol, fibre (in gram)
  - Protein types were further adjusted for each other.

#### Association between protein intake and NAFLD

Protein types	Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)			
	Q2 vs Q1	Q3 vs Q1	Q4 vs Q1	
Total	0.94 (0.78-1.14)	1.10 (0.92-1.33)	1.15 (0.91-1.45)	
Plant	1.01 (0.82-1.24)	1.05 (0.87-1.28)	1.25 (0.96-1.63)	
Animal	1.13 (0.94-1.35)	1.02 (0.85-1.22)	1.19 (0.99-1.44)	
Dairy	0.81 (0.67-0.98)	0.72 (0.59-0.87)	0.68 (0.54-0.86)	
Muscle	1.06 (0.90-1.25)	1.11 (0.91-1.34)	1.19 (0.96-1.49)	
Eggs	/	/	1.00 (0.86-1.17)	

### > All analyses accounted for the complex survey design of NHANES.

# Results

Sample size

Total NHANES participants (66148) Included (8346)

#### Excluded

- No fasting blood (47175)
- o <20y (3215)
- Pregnant women (147)
- o Unreliable dietary data (2677)
- Excessive alcohol usage (1248)
- o Hepatitis B/C (336)
- o Ever had cancer (1177)
- Missing data (1827)

#### Conclusion

Our analysis of this large population-based sample indicated that

the risk of NAFLD may be associated with a dietary pattern poor in

## dairy protein, independent of other risk factors.

#### References:

1. Makri E, Goulas A, Polyzos SA. Epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis and emerging treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Archives of medical research. 2021;52(1):25-37.

2. Riazi K, Azhari H, Charette JH, et al. The prevalence and incidence of NAFLD worldwide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2022.

3. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/

4. Ahuja J, Montville JB, Omolewa-Tomobi G, et al. USDA food and nutrient database for dietary studies, 5.0–documentation and user guide. US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Food Surveys Research Group: Beltsville, MD, USA. 2012.

5. Ruhl C, Everhart J. Fatty liver indices in the multiethnic united states national health and nutrition examination survey. Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics. 2015;41(1):65-76.